

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/573,107	ARTELSMAIR, JOSEF	
	<b>Examiner</b> STEPHEN RALIS	<b>Art Unit</b> 3992	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) STEPHEN RALIS. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Frederick J. Dorchak. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 September 2011.

Type:  Telephonic  Video Conference  
 Personal [copy given to:  applicant  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed  101  112  102  103  Others

(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

#### Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Applicant and examiner discussed the current pending Office action status of the instant application. The examiner provided applicant with inadvertent claim notation errors in the instant specification that do not correlate to the claims allowed in the Notice of Allowability, mailed 18 July 2011. Applicant provided the examiner with acceptable amendments to the instant specification to over the inadvertent claim notation errors in the instant specification. The examiner will do an examiner's amendment to correct the issues.

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

/Stephen J Ralis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3992	
--	--